Dense Triangular Solvers on Multicore Clusters using UPC

Jorge González-Domínguez*, María J. Martín, Guillermo L. Taboada, Juan Touriño

Computer Architecture Group University of A Coruña (Spain) {jgonzalezd,mariam,taboada,juan}@udc.es

International Conference on Computational Science ICCS 2011



- 2 BLAS2 Triangular Solver
- BLAS3 Triangular Solver
- 4 Experimental Evaluation





- 2 BLAS2 Triangular Solver
- BLAS3 Triangular Solver
- 4 Experimental Evaluation
- 5 Conclusions

UPC: a Suitable Alternative for HPC in Multi-core Era

Programming Models:

- Traditionally: Shared/Distributed memory programming models
- Challenge: hybrid memory architectures
 - PGAS (Partitioned Global Address Space)



PGAS Languages:

- UPC -> C
- Titanium -> Java
- Co-Array Fortran -> Fortran

UPC Compilers:

- Berkeley UPC
- GCC (Intrepid)
- Michigan TU
- HP, Cray and IBM UPC Compilers

Studied Numerical Operations

BLAS Libraries

- Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms
- Specification of a set of numerical functions
- Widely used by scientists and engineers
- SparseBLAS and PBLAS (Parallel BLAS)
- Development of UPCBLAS
 - *gemv*: Matrix-vector product ($\alpha * A * x + \beta * y = y$)
 - gemm: Matrix-matrix product (α * A * B + β * C = C)

Studied Routines

- *trsv*: BLAS2 Triangular Solver (M * x = b)
- *trsm*: BLAS3 Triangular Solver (M * X = B)



- 2 BLAS2 Triangular Solver
- BLAS3 Triangular Solver
- 4 Experimental Evaluation
- 5 Conclusions

Parallel BLAS2 Triangular Solver(M * x = b) (I)



Example

- Matrix 8X8
- 2 Threads
- 2 Rows per block

Types of Blocks

- *i* < *j* Zero matrix
- *i* = *j* Triangular matrix
- *i* > *j* Square matrix

Parallel BLAS2 Triangular Solver(M * x = b) (II)



THREAD 0 \rightarrow trsv(M_{11}, x_1, b_1)

<ロト

<ロト</td>

8/24

Parallel BLAS2 Triangular Solver(M * x = b) (III)



 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{THREAD} \ 0 \rightarrow \mathsf{gemv}(\textit{M}_{31}, x_1, b_3) \\ \mathsf{THREAD} \ 1 \rightarrow \mathsf{gemv}(\textit{M}_{21}, x_1, b_2) \\ \rightarrow \mathsf{trsv}(\textit{M}_{22}, x_2, b_2) \\ \rightarrow \mathsf{gemv}(\textit{M}_{41}, x_1, b_4) \end{array}$

Parallel BLAS2 Triangular Solver(M * x = b) (IV)



$\mathsf{THREAD} \ 0 o \mathsf{gemv}(M_{32}, x_2, b_3) \ o \mathsf{trsv}(M_{33}, x_3, b_3)$ $\mathsf{THREAD} \ 1 o \mathsf{gemv}(M_{42}, x_2, b_2)$

・ロ・・「「」・「」・・「」・・「」・

Parallel BLAS2 Triangular Solver(M * x = b) (V)



THREAD 1 \rightarrow gemv(M_{43} , x_3 , b_4) \rightarrow trsv(M_{44} , x_4 , b_4)

<ロト < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 のへで 11/24

Parallel BLAS2 Triangular Solver(M * x = b) (and VI)

Impact of the Block Size

The more blocks the matrix is divided in, the more ...

- computations can be simultaneously performed (
 perf)
- synchronizations are needed (\ perf)

Best block size automatically determined -> Paper

Introduction

- 2 BLAS2 Triangular Solver
- BLAS3 Triangular Solver
- 4 Experimental Evaluation
- 5 Conclusions

Parallel BLAS3 Triangular Solver(M * X = B) (I)

Studied Distributions

- Triangular and dense matrices distributed by rows -> Similar approach than BLAS2 but changing sequential
 - $gemv \rightarrow gemm$
 - $trsv \rightarrow trsm$
- Dense matrices distributed by columns
- Triangular and dense matrices with 2D distribution (multicore-aware)

Parallel BLAS3 Triangular Solver(M * X = B) (II)

Dense Matrices Distributed by Columns



くして 前 ふかん ボット しょう

Parallel BLAS3 Triangular Solver(M * X = B) (and III)



Introduction

- 2 BLAS2 Triangular Solver
- BLAS3 Triangular Solver
- Experimental Evaluation
- 5 Conclusions

Evaluation of the BLAS2 Triangular Solver

Departamental Cluster; InfiniBand; 8 nodes; 2th/node



m = 30000

Evaluation of the BLAS3 Triangular Solver (I)

Finis Terrae Supercomputer; InfiniBand; 32 nodes; 4th/node



19/24

Evaluation of the BLAS3 Triangular Solver (II)

Finis Terrae Supercomputer; InfiniBand; 32 nodes; 4th/node



20/24

Evaluation of the BLAS3 Triangular Solver (and III)

Finis Terrae Supercomputer; InfiniBand; 32 nodes; 4th/node



Introduction

- 2 BLAS2 Triangular Solver
- BLAS3 Triangular Solver
- 4 Experimental Evaluation



Main Conclusions

Summary

- Implementation of BLAS triangular solvers for UPC
- Several techniques to improve their performance
- Special effort to find the most appropriate data distributions
 - BLAS2 \rightarrow Block-cyclic distribution by rows
 - Block size automatically determined according to the characteristics of the scenario
 - BLAS3 → Depending on the memory constraints
- Comparison with ScaLAPACK (MPI)
 - UPC easier to use
 - Similar of better performance

Dense Triangular Solvers on Multicore Clusters using UPC

Jorge González-Domínguez*, María J. Martín, Guillermo L. Taboada, Juan Touriño

Computer Architecture Group University of A Coruña (Spain) {jgonzalezd,mariam,taboada,juan}@udc.es

International Conference on Computational Science ICCS 2011